arXiv:0901.3186v1 [math.AP] 21 Jan 2009

WIENER TYPE REGULARITY OF A BOUNDARY POINT FOR THE 3D LAMÉ SYSTEM

G. LUO AND V. G. MAZ'YA

Abstract

In this paper, we study the 3D Lamé system and establish its weighted positive definiteness for a certain range of elastic constants. By modifying the general theory developed in [4], we then show, under the assumption of weighted positive definiteness, that the divergence of the classical Wiener integral for a boundary point guarantees the continuity of solutions to the Lamé system at this point.

1. The Main Results

In our previous work [1], we studied weighted integral inequalities of the type

$$\int_\Omega Lu \cdot \Psi u \, dx \geq 0 \tag{1}$$

for general second order elliptic systems L in $\mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \geq 3)$. For weights that are smooth and positive homogeneous of order $2-n$, we have shown that L is positive definite in the sense of (1) only if the weight is the fundamental matrix of L, possibly multiplied by a semi-positive definite constant matrix.

A question that arises naturally is under what conditions are elliptic systems indeed positive definite with such weights. Although it is difficult to answer this question in general, we study, as a special case, the 3D Lamé system

$$Lu = -\Delta u - \alpha \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} u, \qquad u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$$

in this paper, deriving sufficient conditions for its weighted positive definiteness and showing that some restrictions on the elastic constants are inevitable. By modifying the general theory developed in [4], we then show that the divergence of the classical Wiener integral for a boundary point guarantees the continuity of solutions to the Lamé system at this point, assuming the weighted positive definiteness.

We first recall the following definition.

Definition 1.1. *Let L be the 3D Lamé system*

$$Lu = -\Delta u - \alpha \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} u = -D_{kk} u_i - \alpha D_{ki} u_k \qquad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

where as usual repeated indices indicate summation. The system L is said to be positive definite with weight $\Psi(x) = (\Psi_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^3$ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Lu)^T \Psi u \, dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \big[D_{kk} u_i(x) + \alpha D_{ki} u_k(x) \big] u_j(x) \Psi_{ij}(x) \, dx \geq 0 \tag{2}$$

for all real valued, smooth vector functions $u = (u_i)_{i=1}^3$, $u_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\})$. As usual, D denotes the gradient $(D_1, D_2, D_3)^T$ and Du is the Jacobian matrix of u.

Remark. The 3D Lamé system satisfies the strong elliptic condition if and only if $\alpha > -1$, and we will make this assumption throughout this paper. $\square$

The fundamental matrix of the 3D Lamé system is given by $\Phi = (\Phi_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$, where

$$\Phi_{ij} = c_\alpha r^{-1}\Big(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\omega_i\omega_j\Big) \qquad (i,j=1,2,3), \tag{3}$$
$$c_\alpha = \frac{\alpha+2}{8\pi(\alpha+1)} > 0.$$

As usual, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, $r=|x|$ and $\omega_i = x_i/|x|$.

The first result we shall prove in this paper is the following

Theorem 1.2. *The 3D Lamé system L is positive definite with weight Φ when $\alpha_- < \alpha < \alpha_+$, where $\alpha_- \approx -0.194$ and $\alpha_+ \approx 1.524$. It is not positive definite with weight Φ when $\alpha < \alpha_-^{(c)} \approx -0.902$ or $\alpha > \alpha_+^{(c)} \approx 39.450$.*

The proof of this theorem is given in Section 2.

Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and consider the Dirichlet problem

$$Lu = f, \qquad f_i \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),\ u_i \in \mathring{H}^1(\Omega). \tag{4}$$

As usual, $\mathring{H}^1(\Omega)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in the Sobolev norm:

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \Big[\|f\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|Df\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\Big]^{1/2}.$$

Definition 1.3. *The point $P \in \partial\Omega$ is regular with respect to L if, for any $f = (f_i)_{i=1}^3$, $f_i \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, the solution of (4) satisfies*

$$\lim_{\Omega \ni x \to P} u_i(x) = 0 \qquad (i=1,2,3). \tag{5}$$

Definition 1.4. *The classical harmonic capacity of a compact set K in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is given by:*

$$\operatorname{cap}(K) = \inf\Big\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Df(x)|^2\,dx : f \in \mathcal{A}(K)\Big\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(K) = \Big\{f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) : f = 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } K\Big\}.$$

Note that an equivalent definition of cap(K) *can be obtained by replacing $\mathcal{A}(K)$ with $\mathcal{A}_1(K)$ where*

$$\mathcal{A}_1(K) = \Big\{f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) : f \geq 1 \text{ on } K\Big\}.$$

([3], sec. 2.2.1).

Using Theorem 1.2, we will prove that the divergence of the classical Wiener integral for a boundary point P guarantees its regularity with respect to the Lamé system. To simplify notations we assume, without loss of generality, that $P = O$ is the origin of the space.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose the 3D Lamé system L is positive definite with weight Φ. Then $O \in \partial\Omega$ is regular with respect to L if*

$$\int_0^1 \operatorname{cap}(\bar{B}_\rho \setminus \Omega)\rho^{-2}\,d\rho = \infty. \tag{6}$$

As usual, B_ρ is the open ball centered at O with radius ρ.

The proof of this theorem is given in Section 3.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We start the proof of Theorem 1.2 by rewriting the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Lu)^T \Phi u \, dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \big(D_{kk} u_i + \alpha D_{ki} u_k\big) u_j \Phi_{ij} \, dx$$

into a more revealing form. In the following, we shall write $\int f \, dx$ instead of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \, dx$, and by u_{ii}^2 we always mean $\sum_{i=1}^3 u_{ii}^2$; to express $(\sum_{i=1}^3 u_{ii})^2$ we will write $u_{ii} u_{jj}$ instead. Furthermore, we always assume $u_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ unless otherwise stated.

Lemma 2.1.

$$\int (Lu)^T \Phi u \, dx = \frac{1}{2} |u(0)|^2 + \mathscr{B}(u,u) \tag{7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{B}(u,u) = {}& \frac{\alpha}{2} \int \big(u_j D_k u_k - u_k D_k u_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij} \, dx \\ &+ \int \big(D_k u_i D_k u_j + \alpha D_k u_k D_i u_j\big) \Phi_{ij} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition,

$$\int (Lu)^T \Phi u \, dx = -\int D_{kk} u_i \cdot u_j \Phi_{ij} \, dx - \alpha \int D_{ki} u_k \cdot u_j \Phi_{ij} \, dx =: I_1 + I_2.$$

Since Φ is symmetric, we have $\Phi_{ij} = \Phi_{ji}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -\int D_{kk} u_i \cdot u_j \Phi_{ij} \, dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \Big[D_{kk}(u_i u_j) - 2 D_k u_i D_k u_j\Big] \Phi_{ij} \, dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int u_i u_j D_{kk} \Phi_{ij} \, dx + \int D_k u_i D_k u_j \cdot \Phi_{ij} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Φ is the fundamental matrix of L, so we have

$$-D_{kk} \Phi_{ij} - \alpha D_{ki} \Phi_{kj} = \delta_{ij} \delta(x),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \int u_i u_j D_{kk} \Phi_{ij} \, dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int u_i u_j \Big[\delta_{ij} \delta(x) + \alpha D_{ki} \Phi_{kj}\Big] \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |u(0)|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int \big(D_i u_i \cdot u_j + u_i D_i u_j\big) D_k \Phi_{kj} \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |u(0)|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int \big(D_k u_k \cdot u_j + u_k D_k u_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now I_2 can be written as

$$I_2 = \alpha \int D_k u_k \big(D_i u_j \cdot \Phi_{ij} + u_j D_i \Phi_{ij}\big) \, dx,$$

and the lemma follows by adding up the results. □

Remark. With $\Phi(x)$ replaced by $\Phi_y(x) := \Phi(x-y)$, we have

$$\int (Lu)^T \Phi_y u \, dx = \int (Lu_y)^T \Phi u_y \, dx \qquad (u_y(x) = u(x+y))$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}|u_y(0)|^2 + \mathscr{B}(u_y, u_y) =: \frac{1}{2}|u(y)|^2 + \mathscr{B}_y(u,u),$$

where

$$\mathscr{B}_y(u,u) = \frac{\alpha}{2}\int \big(u_j D_k u_k - u_k D_k u_j\big) D_i \Phi_{y,ij} \, dx + \int \big(D_k u_i D_k u_j + \alpha D_k u_k D_i u_j\big)\Phi_{y,ij} \, dx.$$

□

To proceed, we introduce the following decomposition for $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ functions:

$$f(x) = \bar{f}(r) + g(x), \qquad \bar{f} \in C_0^\infty[0,\infty), \; g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

where

$$\bar{f}(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{S^2} f(r\omega)\, d\sigma.$$

Note that

$$\int_{S^2} g(r\omega)\, d\sigma = 0, \qquad \forall r \geq 0,$$

so we may think of $\bar{f}$ as the "0-th order harmonics" of the function f. We shall show below in Lemma 2.2 that all 0-th order harmonics in (7) are canceled out, so it is possible to control u by Du.

Lemma 2.2. *With the decomposition*

$$u_i(x) = \bar{u}_i(r) + v_i(x) \qquad (i = 1,2,3) \tag{8}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_i(r) = \dfrac{1}{4\pi}\displaystyle\int_{S^2} u_i(r\omega)\, d\sigma \\ \displaystyle\int_{S^2} v_i(r\omega)\, d\sigma = 0 \end{cases} \qquad \forall r \geq 0 \qquad (i = 1,2,3),$$

we have

$$\int (Lu)^T \Phi u \, dx = \frac{1}{2}|u(0)|^2 + \mathscr{B}^*(u,u) \tag{9}$$

where

$$\mathscr{B}^*(u,u) = \frac{\alpha}{2}\int \big(v_j D_k v_k - v_k D_k v_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij} \, dx + \int \big(D_k u_i D_k u_j + \alpha D_k u_k D_i u_j\big)\Phi_{ij} \, dx. \tag{10}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show

$$\int \big(u_j D_k u_k - u_k D_k u_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij} \, dx = \int \big(v_j D_k v_k - v_k D_k v_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij} \, dx.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \big(u_j D_k u_k - u_k D_k u_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij}\, dx \\
&\quad = \int \big(\bar{u}_j D_k \bar{u}_k - \bar{u}_k D_k \bar{u}_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij}\, dx + \int \big(\bar{u}_j D_k v_k - \bar{u}_k D_k v_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij}\, dx \\
&\qquad + \int \big(v_j D_k \bar{u}_k - v_k D_k \bar{u}_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij}\, dx + \int \big(v_j D_k v_k - v_k D_k v_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij}\, dx \\
&\quad =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,
\end{aligned}$$

it suffices to show $I_1 = I_2 = I_3 = 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
D_i \Phi_{ij} &= D_i \Big[c_\alpha r^{-1} \Big(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \omega_i \omega_j \Big) \Big] \\
&= -c_\alpha r^{-2} \omega_i \delta_{ij} + \frac{c_\alpha \alpha}{\alpha+2} r^{-2} \Big[-\omega_i^2 \omega_j + (\delta_{ii} - \omega_i^2) \omega_j + (\delta_{ji} - \omega_j \omega_i) \omega_i \Big] \\
&= -c_\alpha r^{-2} \omega_j + \frac{c_\alpha \alpha}{\alpha+2} r^{-2} \omega_j =: d_\alpha r^{-2} \omega_j, \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_\alpha = \frac{-2 c_\alpha}{\alpha+2} = \frac{-1}{4\pi(\alpha+1)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= d_\alpha \int r^{-2} \omega_j \big(\bar{u}_j D_r \bar{u}_k \cdot \omega_k - \bar{u}_k D_r \bar{u}_j \cdot \omega_k \big)\, dx \qquad\qquad (D_r = \partial/\partial r) \\
&= d_\alpha \int r^{-2} \big(\bar{u}_j D_r \bar{u}_k \cdot \omega_j \omega_k - \bar{u}_k D_r \bar{u}_j \cdot \omega_k \omega_j \big)\, dx = 0, \\
I_3 &= d_\alpha \int r^{-2} \big(v_j D_r \bar{u}_k \cdot \omega_j \omega_k - v_k D_r \bar{u}_j \cdot \omega_k \omega_j \big)\, dx = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As for I_2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= d_\alpha \int r^{-2} \big(\bar{u}_j D_k v_k \cdot \omega_j - \bar{u}_k D_k v_j \cdot \omega_j \big)\, dx \\
&= d_\alpha \int r^{-2} \big(\bar{u}_j D_k v_k \cdot \omega_j - \bar{u}_j D_j v_k \cdot \omega_k \big)\, dx \\
&= -\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} d_\alpha \int_{S^2} \Big[\bar{u}_j(\epsilon) v_k(\epsilon\omega) \omega_j \omega_k - \bar{u}_j(\epsilon) v_k(\epsilon\omega) \omega_j \omega_k \Big]\, d\sigma \\
&\quad - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} d_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_\epsilon} \Big\{ v_k r^{-3} \Big[-2\bar{u}_j \omega_j \omega_k + r D_r \bar{u}_j \cdot \omega_j \omega_k + \bar{u}_j (\delta_{jk} - \omega_j \omega_k) \Big] \\
&\qquad - v_k r^{-3} \Big[-2\bar{u}_j \omega_j \omega_k + r D_r \bar{u}_j \cdot \omega_j \omega_k + \bar{u}_j (\delta_{kj} - \omega_k \omega_j) \Big] \Big\}\, dx = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows. □

Remark. With $\Phi(x)$ replaced by $\Phi_y(x) := \Phi(x-y)$ and (8) replaced by

$$u_i(x) = \bar{u}_i(r_y) + v_i(x) \qquad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

where $r_y = |x - y|$ and

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_i(r_y) = \dfrac{1}{4\pi} \displaystyle\int_{S^2} u_i(y + r_y \omega)\, d\sigma \\ \displaystyle\int_{S^2} v_i(y + r_y \omega)\, d\sigma = 0 \end{cases} \qquad \forall r_y \geq 0 \qquad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

we have

$$\int (Lu)^T \Phi_y u \, dx = \frac{1}{2}|u(y)|^2 + \mathscr{B}_y^*(u,u)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{B}_y^*(u,u) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int &\big(v_j D_k v_k - v_k D_k v_j\big) D_i \Phi_{y,ij} \, dx \\ &+ \int \big(D_k u_i D_k u_j + \alpha D_k u_k D_i u_j\big) \Phi_{y,ij} \, dx.\end{aligned}$$

□

In the next Lemma, we use the definition of Φ and derive an explicit expression for the bilinear form $\mathscr{B}^*(u,u)$ defined in (10).

Lemma 2.3.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{B}^*(u,u) = c_\alpha \int \Big\{ &\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} r^{-2} \Big[v_k (D_k v) \cdot \omega - (\operatorname{div} v)(v \cdot \omega) \Big] \\ &+ r^{-1} \Big[|D_r \bar{u}|^2 + \alpha \frac{2\alpha+3}{\alpha+2} (D_r \bar{u}_i)^2 \omega_i^2 + |Dv|^2 + \alpha (\operatorname{div} v)^2 \\ &\qquad + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} |(D_k v) \cdot \omega|^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha+2} (\operatorname{div} v) [\omega_i (D_i v) \cdot \omega] \\ &\qquad + \alpha \frac{3\alpha+4}{\alpha+2} (D_r \bar{u} \cdot \omega)(\operatorname{div} v) + \alpha (D_r \bar{u} \cdot \omega)[\omega_i (D_i v) \cdot \omega] \Big] \Big\} \, dx.\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Before proving this lemma, we need a simple yet important observation that will be useful in the following computation.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be such that*

$$\int_{S^2} g(r\omega) \, d\sigma = 0, \qquad \forall r \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\int f(r) g(x) \, dx = 0 \\ \displaystyle\int r^{-1} Df(r) \cdot Dg(x) \, dx = 0 \end{cases} \qquad \forall f \in C_0^\infty[0,\infty).$$

Proof. By switching to polar coordinates, we easily see that

$$\int f(r) g(x) \, dx = \int_0^\infty r^2 f(r) \, dr \int_{S^2} g(r\omega) \, d\sigma = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\int r^{-1} Df(r) \cdot Dg(x) \, dx &= \int r^{-1} D_r f D_i g \cdot \omega_i \, dx \\ &= -\int g \Big[-r^{-2} (D_r f) \omega_i^2 + r^{-1} (D_{rr} f) \omega_i^2 + r^{-2} D_r f (\delta_{ii} - \omega_i^2) \Big] \, dx \\ &= -\int g \big(r^{-2} D_r f + r^{-1} D_{rr} f \big) \, dx = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by switching to polar coordinates. □

Proof of Lemma 2.3. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{B}^*(u,u) &= \frac{\alpha}{2}\int \big(v_j D_k v_k - v_k D_k v_j\big) D_i \Phi_{ij}\,dx \\ &\quad + \int \big(D_k u_i D_k u_j + \alpha D_k u_k D_i u_j\big)\Phi_{ij}\,dx =: I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned}$$

We have shown in Lemma 2.2 that (see (11))

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= 2^{-1}\alpha d_\alpha \int r^{-2}\omega_j \big(v_j D_k v_k - v_k D_k v_j\big)\,dx \\ &= \frac{c_\alpha \alpha}{\alpha+2}\int r^{-2}\Big[v_k (D_k v)\cdot\omega - (\operatorname{div} v)(v\cdot\omega)\Big]\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 &= c_\alpha \int r^{-1} D_k u_i D_k u_i\,dx + \frac{c_\alpha\alpha}{\alpha+2}\int r^{-1} D_k u_i D_k u_j \cdot \omega_i\omega_j\,dx \\ &\quad + c_\alpha\alpha \int r^{-1} D_k u_k D_i u_i\,dx + \frac{c_\alpha \alpha^2}{\alpha+2}\int r^{-1} D_k u_k D_i u_j\cdot\omega_i\omega_j\,dx \\ &=: I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $u_i = \bar{u}_i + v_i$ into I_3 and using Lemma 2.4 yields

$$\begin{aligned}I_3 &= c_\alpha \int r^{-1}\big(D_r\bar{u}_i D_r \bar{u}_i\cdot\omega_k^2 + D_k v_i D_k v_i\big)\,dx + 2c_\alpha\int r^{-1} D_k\bar{u}_i D_k v_i\,dx \\ &= c_\alpha \int r^{-1}\big(|D_r\bar{u}|^2 + |Dv|^2\big)\,dx. \qquad (13)\end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$I_5 = c_\alpha\alpha \int r^{-1}\big(D_r\bar{u}_k D_r\bar{u}_i\cdot\omega_k\omega_i + 2D_i v_i D_r\bar{u}_k\cdot\omega_k + D_k v_k D_i v_i\big)\,dx.$$

Note that for $k \neq i$,

$$\int r^{-1} D_r\bar{u}_k D_r\bar{u}_i\cdot\omega_k\omega_i\,dx = \int_0^\infty r D_r\bar{u}_k D_r\bar{u}_i\,dr \int_{S^2}\omega_k\omega_i\,d\sigma = 0,$$

and therefore

$$I_5 = c_\alpha\alpha\int r^{-1}\Big[(D_r\bar{u}_i)^2\omega_i^2 + 2(\operatorname{div} v)(D_r\bar{u}\cdot\omega) + (\operatorname{div} v)^2\Big]\,dx.$$

As for I_4,

$$\begin{aligned}I_4 &= \frac{c_\alpha\alpha}{\alpha+2}\int r^{-1} D_k(\bar{u}_i + v_i) D_k(\bar{u}_j + v_j)\cdot\omega_i\omega_j\,dx \\ &= \frac{c_\alpha\alpha}{\alpha+2}\int r^{-1}\big(D_r\bar{u}_i D_r\bar{u}_j\cdot\omega_i\omega_j\omega_k^2 + D_r\bar{u}_i D_k v_j\cdot\omega_i\omega_j\omega_k \\ &\qquad\qquad + D_k v_i D_r\bar{u}_j\cdot\omega_i\omega_j\omega_k + D_k v_i D_k v_j\cdot\omega_i\omega_j\big)\,dx \\ &= \frac{c_\alpha\alpha}{\alpha+2}\int r^{-1}\Big[(D_r\bar{u}_i)^2\omega_i^2 + 2(D_r\bar{u}\cdot\omega)[\omega_k(D_k v)\cdot\omega] + |D_k v\cdot\omega|^2\Big]\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} I_6 &= \frac{c_\alpha \alpha^2}{\alpha+2} \int r^{-1} D_k(\bar{u}_k + v_k) D_i(\bar{u}_j + v_j) \cdot \omega_i \omega_j \, dx \\ &= \frac{c_\alpha \alpha^2}{\alpha+2} \int r^{-1} \big(D_r \bar{u}_k D_r \bar{u}_j \cdot \omega_i^2 \omega_j \omega_k + D_r \bar{u}_k D_i v_j \cdot \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k \\ &\qquad\qquad + D_r \bar{u}_j D_k v_k \cdot \omega_i^2 \omega_j + D_k v_k D_i v_j \cdot \omega_i \omega_j \big) \, dx \\ &= \frac{c_\alpha \alpha^2}{\alpha+2} \int r^{-1} \Big[(D_r \bar{u}_j)^2 \omega_j^2 + (D_r \bar{u} \cdot \omega)[\omega_i (D_i v) \cdot \omega] \\ &\qquad\qquad + (D_r \bar{u} \cdot \omega)(\operatorname{div} v) + (\operatorname{div} v)[\omega_i (D_i v) \cdot \omega] \Big] \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows by adding up all these integrals. □

With the help of Lemma 2.3, we now complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 2.2 and 2.3,

$$-c_\alpha^{-1} \int (Lu)^T \Phi u \, dx = \frac{1}{2} c_\alpha^{-1} |u(0)|^2 + I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = \int r^{-1} \Big[&|D_r \bar{u}|^2 + \alpha \frac{2\alpha+3}{\alpha+2} (D_r \bar{u}_i)^2 \omega_i^2 + |Dv|^2 \\ &+ \alpha (\operatorname{div} v)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} |(D_k v) \cdot \omega|^2 \Big] \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int r^{-1} \Big[\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha+2} (\operatorname{div} v)[\omega_i (D_i v) \cdot \omega] + \alpha \frac{3\alpha+4}{\alpha+2} (D_r \bar{u} \cdot \omega)(\operatorname{div} v) \\ &\qquad + \alpha (D_r \bar{u} \cdot \omega)[\omega_i (D_i v) \cdot \omega] \Big] \, dx, \\ I_3 &= \int \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} r^{-2} \Big[v_k (D_k v) \cdot \omega - (\operatorname{div} v)(v \cdot \omega) \Big] \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consider first the case $\alpha \geq 0$. By switching to polar coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\geq \int r^{-1} \Big[|D_r \bar{u}|^2 + \alpha \frac{2\alpha+3}{\alpha+2} (D_r \bar{u}_i)^2 \omega_i^2 + |Dv|^2 + \alpha (\operatorname{div} v)^2 \Big] \, dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty r \Big[\Big(1 + \frac{\alpha}{3} \cdot \frac{2\alpha+3}{\alpha+2} \Big) \|D_r \bar{u}\|_\omega^2 + \|Dv\|_\omega^2 + \alpha \|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega^2 \Big] \, dr, \end{aligned}$$

where we have written $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ for $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(S^2)}$ and used the fact that

$$\int_{S^2} (D_r \bar{u}_i)^2 \omega_i^2 \, d\sigma = \frac{4\pi}{3} \sum_{i=1}^3 (D_r \bar{u}_i)^2 = \frac{1}{3} \int_{S^2} |D_r \bar{u}|^2 \, d\sigma = \frac{1}{3} \|D_r \bar{u}\|_\omega^2.$$

Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &\le \int r^{-1}\left[\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha+2}|\operatorname{div} v||Dv| + \alpha\frac{3\alpha+4}{\alpha+2}|D_r\bar{u}\cdot\omega||\operatorname{div} v| + \alpha|D_r\bar{u}\cdot\omega||Dv|\right] dx \\
&\le \int_0^\infty r\left[\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha+2}\|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega\|Dv\|_\omega + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}\cdot\frac{3\alpha+4}{\alpha+2}\|D_r\bar{u}\|_\omega\|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}\|D_r\bar{u}\|_\omega\|Dv\|_\omega\right] dr,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|D_r\bar{u}\cdot\omega\|_\omega^2 &= \int_{S^2} D_r\bar{u}_i D_r\bar{u}_j\cdot\omega_i\omega_j\,d\sigma \\
&= D_r\bar{u}_i D_r\bar{u}_j\cdot\frac{4\pi}{3}\delta_{ij} = \frac{4\pi}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3}(D_r\bar{u}_i)^2 = \frac{1}{3}\|D_r\bar{u}\|_\omega^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

As for I_3, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I_3| &\le \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\int r^{-2}\big(|v||Dv| + |v||\operatorname{div} v|\big)\,dx \\
&\le \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\int_0^\infty \|v\|_\omega\big(\|Dv\|_\omega + \|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega\big)\,dr.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since 2 is the first non-trivial eigenvalue of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on S^2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_\omega^2 &= \int_{S^2}|v(r\omega)|^2\,d\sigma \le \frac{1}{2}\int_{S^2}|D_\omega[v(r\omega)]|^2\,d\sigma \\
&= \frac{r^2}{2}\int_{S^2}|(D_\omega v)(r\omega)|^2\,d\sigma \le \frac{r^2}{2}\|Dv\|_\omega^2, \tag{14}
\end{aligned}
$$

where D_ω is the gradient operator on S^2. Thus

$$
|I_3| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cdot\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\int_0^\infty r\Big[\|Dv\|_\omega^2 + \|Dv\|_\omega\|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega\Big]\,dr,
$$

and by putting all pieces together we obtain

$$
I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \ge \int_0^\infty r\big(w^T B_+ w\big)\,dr, \tag{15}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
w &= \big(\|D_r\bar{u}\|_\omega, \|Dv\|_\omega, \|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega\big)^T, \\
B_+ &= \begin{bmatrix}
1 + \frac{\alpha}{3}\cdot\frac{2\alpha+3}{\alpha+2} & -\frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{3}}\cdot\frac{3\alpha+4}{\alpha+2} \\
-\frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{3}} & 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cdot\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} & -\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\frac{\alpha+2^{-1/2}}{\alpha+2} \\
-\frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{3}}\cdot\frac{3\alpha+4}{\alpha+2} & -\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\frac{\alpha+2^{-1/2}}{\alpha+2} & \alpha
\end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, the weighted positive definiteness of L follows from the positive definiteness of B_+, because the latter implies, for some $c > 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty r\big(w^T B_+ w\big)\,dr &\geq c\int_0^\infty r|w|^2\,dr \\ &\geq c\int_0^\infty r\big(\|D_r\bar{u}\|_\omega^2 + \|Dv\|_\omega^2\big)\,dr = c\int r^{-1}|Du|^2\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

The positive definiteness of B_+, on the other hand, is equivalent to the positivity of the determinants of all leading principal minors of B_+:

$$p_{+,1}(\alpha) = \frac{2\alpha^2+6\alpha+6}{3(\alpha+2)} > 0, \tag{16a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{+,2}(\alpha) &= -\frac{1}{12(\alpha+2)^2}\Big[\alpha^4 - 4(1-\sqrt{2})\alpha^3 - 12(3-\sqrt{2})\alpha^2 \\ &\qquad\qquad - 12(6-\sqrt{2})\alpha - 48\Big] > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{16b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{+,3}(\alpha) &= -\frac{\alpha}{12(\alpha+2)^3}\Big[6\alpha^5 + (23+3\sqrt{2})\alpha^4 + (13+19\sqrt{2})\alpha^3 \\ &\qquad\qquad - (77-38\sqrt{2})\alpha^2 - (157-24\sqrt{2})\alpha - 96\Big] > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{16c}$$

With the help of computer algebra packages, we find that (16) holds for $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_+$, where $\alpha_+ \approx 1.524$ is the largest real root of $p_{+,3}$.

The estimates of I_1, I_2, and I_3 are slightly different when $\alpha < 0$, since now the quadratic term $\alpha\|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega^2$ in I_1 is negative. This means that it is no longer possible to control the $\|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega$ terms in I_2, I_3 by $\alpha\|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega^2$, and in order to obtain positivity we need to bound $\|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega$ by $\|Dv\|_\omega$ as follows:

$$\|\operatorname{div} v\|_\omega^2 \leq 3\|Dv\|_\omega^2.$$

This leads to the following revised estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\geq \int_0^\infty r\bigg[\Big(1+\frac{\alpha}{3}\cdot\frac{2\alpha+3}{\alpha+2}\Big)\|D_r\bar{u}\|_\omega^2 + \|Dv\|_\omega^2 + 3\alpha\|Dv\|_\omega^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\|Dv\|_\omega^2\bigg]\,dr, \\ |I_2| &\leq \int_0^\infty r\bigg[\frac{\sqrt{3}\,\alpha^2}{\alpha+2}\|Dv\|_\omega^2 - \alpha\frac{3\alpha+4}{\alpha+2}\|D_r\bar{u}\|_\omega\|Dv\|_\omega - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}\|D_r\bar{u}\|_\omega\|Dv\|_\omega\bigg]\,dr, \\ |I_3| &\leq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cdot\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\int_0^\infty r\Big[\|Dv\|_\omega^2 + \sqrt{3}\,\|Dv\|_\omega^2\Big]\,dr. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \geq \int_0^\infty r\big(w^T B_- w\big)\,dr, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \big(\|D_r\bar{u}\|_\omega, \|Dv\|_\omega\big)^T, \\ B_- &= \begin{bmatrix} 1+\dfrac{\alpha}{3}\cdot\dfrac{2\alpha+3}{\alpha+2} & \dfrac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\dfrac{3\alpha+4}{\alpha+2}+\dfrac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{3}} \\ \dfrac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\dfrac{3\alpha+4}{\alpha+2}+\dfrac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{3}} & 1+3\alpha+\dfrac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\Big(1+\dfrac{1+\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}-\sqrt{3}\,\alpha\Big) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The positive definiteness of B_- is equivalent to:

$$p_{-,1}(\alpha) = \frac{2\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 6}{3(\alpha+2)} > 0, \tag{18a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{-,2}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{6(\alpha+2)^2}\Big[&-(2+7\sqrt{3})\alpha^4 + 2(15+\sqrt{2}-11\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{6})\alpha^3 \\ &+ 2(57+3\sqrt{2}-10\sqrt{3}+3\sqrt{6})\alpha^2 + 6(20+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{6})\alpha + 24\Big] > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{18b}$$

and (18) holds for $\alpha_- < \alpha < 0$, where $\alpha_- \approx -0.194$ is the smallest real root of $p_{-,2}$.

Now we show that the 3D Lamé system is not positive definite with weight Φ when α is either too close to -1 or too large. By Proposition 3.11 in [1], the 3D Lamé system is positive definite with weight Φ only if

$$\sum_{i,\beta,\gamma} A_{ip}^{\beta\gamma} \xi_\beta \xi_\gamma \Phi_{ip}(\omega) \geq 0, \qquad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,\ \forall \omega \in S^2 \qquad (p = 1,2,3),$$

where

$$A_{ij}^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\beta\gamma} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(\delta_{i\beta}\delta_{j\gamma} + \delta_{i\gamma}\delta_{j\beta})$$

and (see equation (3))

$$\Phi_{ij}(\omega) = c_\alpha r^{-1}\Big(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\omega_i\omega_j\Big) \qquad (i,j = 1,2,3).$$

This means, in particular, that the matrix

$$\begin{aligned} A(\omega;\alpha) &:= \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{i1}^{\beta\gamma}\Phi_{i1}(\omega)\Big)_{\beta,\gamma=1}^{3} \\ &= \frac{c_\alpha r^{-1}}{2(\alpha+2)} \begin{bmatrix} 2(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2+\alpha\omega_1^2) & \alpha^2\omega_1\omega_2 & \alpha^2\omega_1\omega_3 \\ \alpha^2\omega_1\omega_2 & 2(\alpha+2+\alpha\omega_1^2) & 0 \\ \alpha^2\omega_1\omega_3 & 0 & 2(\alpha+2+\alpha\omega_1^2) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is semi-positive definite for any $\omega \in S^2$ if the 3D Lamé system is positive definite with weight Φ. But $A(\omega;\alpha)$ is semi-positive definite only if the determinant of its leading principal minor

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(\omega;\alpha) &:= \det \begin{bmatrix} 2(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2+\alpha\omega_1^2) & \alpha^2\omega_1\omega_2 \\ \alpha^2\omega_1\omega_2 & 2(\alpha+2+\alpha\omega_1^2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 4(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2+\alpha\omega_1^2)^2 - \alpha^4\omega_1^2\omega_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

is non-negative, and elementary estimate shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\omega\in S^2} d_2(\omega;\alpha) &\leq d_2\big[(2^{-1/2}, 2^{-1/2}, 0);\alpha\big] \\ &= (\alpha+1)(3\alpha+4)^2 - \frac{\alpha^4}{4} =: q(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the 3D Lamé system is not positive definite with weight Φ when $q(\alpha) < 0$, which holds for $\alpha < \alpha_-^{(c)} \approx -0.902$ or $\alpha > \alpha_+^{(c)} \approx 39.450$. $\square$

Remark. We have in fact shown that, for $\alpha_- < \alpha < \alpha_+$ and some $c > 0$ depending on α,

$$\int (Lu)^T \Phi u\, dx \geq \frac{1}{2}|u(0)|^2 + c\int |Du(x)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|}.$$

If we replace $\Phi(x)$ by $\Phi_y(x) := \Phi(x-y)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int (Lu)^T \Phi_y u\,dx &= \int [Lu(x+y)]^T \Phi u(x+y)\,dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}|u(y)|^2 + c\int |Du(x+y)|^2 \frac{dx}{|x|} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}|u(y)|^2 + c\int \frac{|Du(x)|^2}{|x-y|}\,dx. \qquad (19)\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

In the next lemma and henceforth, we use the notation

$$m_\rho(u) = \rho^{-3}\int_{\Omega\cap S_\rho} |u(x)|^2\,dx, \qquad S_\rho = \{x : \rho < |x| < 2\rho\},$$
$$M_\rho(u) = \rho^{-3}\int_{\Omega\cap B_\rho} |u(x)|^2\,dx.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose L is positive definite with weight Φ, and let $u = (u_i)_{i=1}^3$, $u_i \in \overset{\circ}{H}{}^1(\Omega)$ be a solution of*

$$Lu = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Omega\cap B_{2\rho}.$$

Then

$$\int_\Omega [L(u\eta_\rho)]^T \Phi_y u\eta_\rho\,dx \leq c m_\rho(u), \qquad \forall y \in B_\rho,$$

where $\eta_\rho(x) = \eta(x/\rho)$, $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_{5/3})$, $\eta = 1$ on $B_{4/3}$, and $\Phi_y(x) = \Phi(x-y)$.

Proof. By definition of u,

$$\int_\Omega [L(u\eta_\rho)]^T \Phi_y u\eta_\rho\,dx = \int_\Omega [L(u\eta_\rho)]^T \Phi_y u\eta_\rho\,dx - \int_\Omega (Lu)^T \Phi_y u\eta_\rho^2\,dx,$$

where the second integral on the right side vanishes and the first one equals

$$-\int_\Omega \Big[2D_k u_i D_k\eta_\rho + u_i D_{kk}\eta_\rho + \alpha\big(D_i u_k D_k\eta_\rho + D_k u_k D_i\eta_\rho + u_k D_{ki}\eta_\rho\big)\Big] u_j\eta_\rho(\Phi_y)_{ij}\,dx.$$

Note that $D\eta_\rho$, $D^2\eta_\rho$ have compact support in $R := B_{5\rho/3}\setminus B_{4\rho/3}$, $|D^k\eta_\rho| \leq c\rho^{-k}$, and

$$|\Phi_{y,ij}(x)| \leq \frac{c}{|x-y|} \leq c\rho^{-1}, \qquad \forall x\in R,\ \forall y \in B_\rho.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega [L(u\eta_\rho)]^T \Phi_y u\eta_\rho\,dx &\leq c\int_{\Omega\cap R} \rho^{-2}|u||Du|\,dx + c\int_{\Omega\cap R}\rho^{-3}|u|^2\,dx \\ &\leq c\left[\rho^{-3}\int_{\Omega\cap S_\rho}|u|^2\,dx\right]^{1/2}\left[\rho^{-1}\int_{\Omega\cap R}|Du|^2\,dx\right]^{1/2} + c\rho^{-3}\int_{\Omega\cap S_\rho}|u|^2\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

The lemma then follows from the well-known local energy estimate [5]

$$\rho^{-1}\int_{\Omega\cap R}|Du|^2\,dx \leq \rho^{-3}\int_{\Omega\cap S_\rho}|u|^2\,dx.$$

□

Combining (19) (with u replaced by $u\eta_\rho$) and Lemma 3.1, we arrive at the following local estimate.

Corollary 3.2. *Let the conditions of Lemma 3.1 be satisfied. Then*

$$|u(y)|^2+\int_{\Omega\cap B_\rho}\frac{|Du(x)|^2}{|x-y|}\,dx\le cm_\rho(u),\qquad \forall y\in\Omega\cap B_\rho.$$

To proceed, we need the following Poincaré-type inequality (see [2]).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $u=(u_i)_{i=1}^3$ be any vector function with $u_i\in \overset{\circ}{H}{}^1(\Omega)$. Then for any $\rho>0$,*

$$m_\rho(u)\le\frac{c}{\operatorname{cap}(\bar S_\rho\setminus\Omega)}\int_{\Omega\cap S_\rho}|Du|^2\,dx$$

where c is independent of ρ.

The next corollary is a direct consequence of Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. *Let the conditions of Lemma 3.1 be satisfied. Then*

$$|u(y)|^2+\int_{\Omega\cap B_\rho}\frac{|Du(x)|^2}{|x-y|}\,dx\le\frac{c}{\operatorname{cap}(\bar S_\rho\setminus\Omega)}\int_{\Omega\cap S_\rho}|Du|^2\,dx,\qquad\forall y\in\Omega\cap B_\rho.$$

We are now in a position to prove the following lemma, which is the key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose L is positive definite with weight Φ, and let $u=(u_i)_{i=1}^3$, $u_i\in \overset{\circ}{H}{}^1(\Omega)$ be a solution of $Lu=0$ on $\Omega\cap B_{2R}$. Then, for all $\rho\in(0,R)$,*
(20)

$$\sup_{x\in\Omega\cap B_\rho}|u(x)|^2+\int_{\Omega\cap B_\rho}|Du(x)|^2\frac{dx}{|x|}\le c_1M_{2R}(u)\exp\left[-c_2\int_\rho^R\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r\setminus\Omega)r^{-2}\,dr\right],$$

where $c_1,\ c_2$ are independent of ρ.

Proof. Define

$$\gamma(r):=r^{-1}\operatorname{cap}(\bar S_r\setminus\Omega).$$

We first claim that $\gamma(r)$ is bounded from above by some absolute constant A. Indeed, The monotonicity of capacity implies that

$$\operatorname{cap}(\bar S_r\setminus\Omega)\le\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r).$$

By choosing smooth test functions $\eta_r(x)=\eta(x/r)$ with $\eta\in C_0^\infty(B_2)$ and $\eta=1$ on $B_{3/2}$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r)&\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|D\eta_r|^2\,dx\le\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3}|D\eta(x)|^2\int_{B_{2r}}r^{-2}\,dx\\&=\left[\frac{32}{3}\pi\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3}|D\eta(x)|^2\right]r.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the claim follows.

We next consider the case $\rho\in(0,R/2]$. Denote the first and second terms on the left side of (20) by φ_ρ and ψ_ρ, respectively. From Corollary 3.4, it follows that for $r\le R$,

$$\varphi_r+\psi_r\le\frac{c}{\gamma(r)}(\psi_{2r}-\psi_r)\le\frac{c}{\gamma(r)}(\psi_{2r}-\psi_r+\varphi_{2r}-\varphi_r),$$

which implies that

$$\varphi_r + \psi_r \le \frac{c}{c+\gamma(r)}(\varphi_{2r} + \psi_{2r}) = \frac{ce^{c_0\gamma(r)}}{c+\gamma(r)}\Big[e^{-c_0\gamma(r)}(\varphi_{2r} + \psi_{2r})\Big], \qquad \forall c_0 > 0.$$

Since $\gamma(r) \le A$ and

$$\sup_{s\in[0,A]} \frac{ce^{c_0 s}}{c+s} \le \max\Big\{1, \frac{ce^{c_0 A}}{c+A}, cc_0 e^{1-cc_0}\Big\},$$

it is possible to choose $c_0 > 0$ sufficiently small so that

$$\sup_{r>0} \frac{ce^{c_0\gamma(r)}}{c+\gamma(r)} \le 1.$$

It follows, for c_0 chosen this way, that

$$\varphi_r + \psi_r \le e^{-c_0\gamma(r)}(\varphi_{2r} + \psi_{2r}). \tag{21}$$

By setting $r = 2^{-l}R$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}$) and repeatedly applying (21), we obtain

$$\varphi_{2^{-l}R} + \psi_{2^{-l}R} \le \exp\Big[-c_0\sum_{j=1}^{l}\gamma(2^{-j}R)\Big](\varphi_R + \psi_R).$$

If l is such that $l \le \log_2(R/\rho) < l+1$, then $\rho \le 2^{-l}R < 2\rho$ and

$$\varphi_\rho + \psi_\rho \le \varphi_{2^{-l}R} + \psi_{2^{-l}R} \le \exp\Big[-c_0\sum_{j=1}^{l}\gamma(2^{-j}R)\Big](\varphi_R + \psi_R).$$

Note that by Corollary 3.2,

$$\varphi_R + \psi_R \le cm_R(u) \le cM_{2R}(u).$$

In addition, the subadditivity of the harmonic capacity implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{l}\gamma(2^{-j}R) &\ge \sum_{j=1}^{l}\frac{\mathrm{cap}(\bar{B}_{2^{1-j}R}\setminus\Omega) - \mathrm{cap}(\bar{B}_{2^{-j}R}\setminus\Omega)}{2^{-j}R}\\
&= \Big[\frac{\mathrm{cap}(\bar{B}_R\setminus\Omega)}{2^{-1}R} - \frac{\mathrm{cap}(\bar{B}_{2^{-l}R}\setminus\Omega)}{2^{-l}R}\Big] + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\frac{\mathrm{cap}(\bar{B}_{2^{-j}R}\setminus\Omega)}{2^{-j}R}\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{cap}(\bar{B}_R\setminus\Omega)}{R} - 2\,\frac{\mathrm{cap}(\bar{B}_{2^{-l}R}\setminus\Omega)}{2^{-l}R} + \sum_{j=1}^{l}\frac{\mathrm{cap}(\bar{B}_{2^{-j}R}\setminus\Omega)}{2^{-j}R}\\
&\ge -2\,\frac{\mathrm{cap}(\bar{B}_{2^{-l}R}\setminus\Omega)}{2^{-l}R} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{l}\frac{\mathrm{cap}(\bar{B}_{2^{-j}R}\setminus\Omega)}{2^{-j}R}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_{2^{-l}R}\setminus\Omega)}{2^{-l}R}\le A,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^{l}\frac{\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_{2^{-j}R}\setminus\Omega)}{2^{-j}R} &\ge \frac12\sum_{j=1}^{l+1}\frac{\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_{2^{1-j}R}\setminus\Omega)}{(2^{-j}R)^2}\cdot 2^{-j}R\\ &\ge \frac12\sum_{j=1}^{l+1}\int_{2^{-j}R}^{2^{1-j}R}\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r\setminus\Omega)r^{-2}\,dr\\ &\ge \frac12\int_\rho^R\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r\setminus\Omega)r^{-2}\,dr,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\exp\biggl[-c_0\sum_{j=1}^{l}\gamma(2^{-j}R)\biggr]\le\exp\biggl[-\frac{c_0}{4}\int_\rho^R\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r\setminus\Omega)r^{-2}\,dr+2c_0A\biggr].$$

Hence (20) follows with $c_1=ce^{2c_0A}$ and $c_2=c_0/4$.

Finally we consider the case $\rho\in(R/2,R)$. By Corollary 3.2,

$$|u(y)|^2+\int_{\Omega\cap B_\rho}\frac{|Du(x)|^2}{|x-y|}\,dx\le cm_\rho(u),\qquad\forall y\in\Omega\cap B_\rho,$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{y\in\Omega\cap B_\rho}|u(y)|^2+\int_{\Omega\cap B_\rho}|Du(x)|^2\frac{dx}{|x|}\le cM_{2R}(u).$$

In addition,

$$\int_\rho^R\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r\setminus\Omega)r^{-2}\,dr\le A\int_{R/2}^R r^{-1}\,dr=A\log 2,$$

so

$$\biggl[\sup_{y\in\Omega\cap B_\rho}|u(y)|^2+\int_{\Omega\cap B_\rho}|Du(x)|^2\frac{dx}{|x|}\biggr]\exp\biggl[c_2\int_\rho^R\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r\setminus\Omega)r^{-2}\,dr\biggr]\le c_1M_{2R}(u)$$

provided that $c_1\ge ce^{c_2A\log 2}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Consider the Dirichlet problem (4)

$$Lu=f,\qquad f_i\in C_0^\infty(\Omega),\ u_i\in\mathring{H}^1(\Omega).$$

Since f vanishes near the boundary, there exists $R>0$ such that $f=0$ in $\Omega\cap B_{2R}$. By Lemma 3.5,

$$\sup_{x\in\Omega\cap B_\rho}|u(x)|^2\le c_1M_{2R}(u)\exp\biggl[-c_2\int_\rho^R\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r\setminus\Omega)r^{-2}\,dr\biggr],$$

and in particular,

$$\limsup_{x\to0}|u(x)|^2\le c_1M_{2R}(u)\exp\biggl[-c_2\int_0^R\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r\setminus\Omega)r^{-2}\,dr\biggr]=0,$$

where the last equation follows from the divergence of the Wiener integral

$$\int_0^1\operatorname{cap}(\bar B_r\setminus\Omega)r^{-2}\,dr=\infty.$$

Hence O is regular with respect to L. $\square$

References

[1] Luo, G. and V. G. Maz'ya: 2007, 'Weighted positivity of second order elliptic systems'. *Potential Analysis* **27**(3), 251–270.

[2] Maz'ya, V. G.: 1963, 'The Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations of arbitrary order in unbounded domains (in Russian)'. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **150**, 1221–1224.

[3] Maz'ya, V. G.: 1985, *Sobolev spaces*, Springer Ser. Soviet Math. Springer, Berlin.

[4] Maz'ya, V. G.: 2002, 'The Wiener test for higher order elliptic equations'. *Duke Math. J.* **115**(3), 479–512.

[5] S. Agmon, A. D. and L. Nirenberg: 1959, 1964, 'Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions, I, II'. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **12, 17**, 623–727, 35–92.